

OFFICE OF

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY,

Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Philadelphia November 10. 1886.

Hon. Daniel M. Fox
Superintendent of the Mint
Sir!

Please inform me whether the reasons still exist why the case of the United States v. Peter Daly should not be tried. I did not call your attention to the matter in August as only prison cases were tried at that term. The next term of the District Court will commence on Monday the 15th inst. I would therefore be pleased to hear from you at your very earliest convenience.

Very respectfully
J. M. K. Valentine
U. S. Attorney.

279

PHILADELPHIA, PENN.

November 10th 1886

JOHN K. VALENTINE,

U. S. Attorney.

*Asks whether the reasons still
exist why the case of the U. S. vs
Peter Daly should not be tried.*

*Did not call attention to the
matter in Aug. as only Prison Cases
were tried then.*

*Next term will begin on the 15th inst
Will be glad to hear from Supt.*

*I cognate cor-
respondence*



Recd. Nov. 11. 1886.

Mint of the United States at
Philadelphia, Pa.

Superintendent's office.

11th Nov. 1886.

Sir:

I have the honor to receive your favor of the 10th inst, inquiring whether the reasons for the delay which hitherto existed in the case of the United States against Peter Daley will prevent the defendant's trial at this time. I would say that the Treasury detective who spent some time here made no report to me upon the subject, and he was withdrawn by order of the Chief of that branch of the public service.

What report he made to his superior officer, I do not know, neither am I aware from any other source whether he made progress in the special matter given to his charge. I would respectfully suggest that were a letter written to the proper officer of the Treasury Department, or to whomever you may think it judicious to write to, the answer would probably furnish you with the information needed.

Very respectfully

Wm M. Fox

Superintendent.

Wm John K. Valentine
United States Attorney
Eastern District of Penna.
9th & Chestnut
Philada.

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY,

Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Philadelphia November 13th 1886

Honorable Daniel Manning,
Secretary of the Treasury,
Sir:

I have the honor to call your attention to my letter of August 7th 1885 in regard to an indictment pending in the U. S. D. C. of this District against Peter Daly. There has been a delay in the prosecution of this case from the fact that it was thought desirable that some investigation should be made at the Mint in this City, to learn if possible, what other parties were implicated; especially if any of these parties were employees of the Mint. I recently addressed a letter to the Superintendent of the Mint, inquiring whether this investigation had been completed and whether the reasons which hitherto existed for

2 / UNITED STATES ATTORNEY,

Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Philadelphia

delay in this case, still continued.

I enclose herewith a copy of his answer. As the Superintendent states that he is not able to answer my inquiry; in accordance with his suggestion, I address you on the subject and would be pleased to be informed whether these investigations have been completed and whether there is any reason, at the present time, why this case should not be prosecuted.

Very respectfully,

Yours obedient servant,

Wm K. Valentine.

U. S. Attorney.

33190

9759 TREASURY DEPT
REC'D NOV 15 1886

PHILADELPHIA, PENN.

33190

REC'D NOV 16 1886

November 13th 1886

JOHN K. VALENTINE,

U. S. Attorney.

ask as to prosecuting
Case Peter Daly

#1 Treasury Department,
Nov. 15/86.

Respectfully referred to the
Director of the Mint.

E. B. ~~Johnson~~
Chief Clerk

NO. 33190
DIRECTOR MINT.
REC'D NOV 16 1886

Encl.

Mail

Bureau of the Mint
Washington D.C.

Nov. 16. 1886

Respectfully referred to the
Superintendent of the Mint
at Philadelphia who will
please communicate his
views on the subject to the
Minter

Jas. H. Kimbale

Director

[Letter One:]

[Abstract:] Asks whether the reasons still exist why the case...

Office of United States Attorney,
Eastern District of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia,
November 10, 1886

Hon. Daniel M. Fox
Superintendent of the Mint

Sir:

Please inform me whether the reasons still exist why the case of the United States v. Peter Daly should not be tried. I did not call your attention to the matter in August as only prison cases were tried at that term. The next term of the District Court will commence on Monday the 15th inst. I would therefore be pleased to hear from you at your very earliest convenience.

Very respectfully,
John K. Valentine,
U.S. Attorney

[Letter Two:]

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia, Pa.
Superintendent's Office,
November 11, 1886

Sir:

I have the honor to receive you favor of the 10th inst., inquiring whether the reasons for the delay which hitherto existed in the case of the United States against Peter Daley will prevent the defendant's trial at this time. I would say that the Treasury detective who spent some time, here made no report to me upon the subject, and he was withdrawn by order of the Chief of that branch of the public service.

What report he made to his superior officer, I do not know, neither am I aware from any other source whether he made progress in the special matter given to his charge. I would respectfully suggest that were a letter written to the proper offices of the Treasury Department, or to whomsoever you may think it judicious to write to, the answer would probably furnish you with the information needed.

Very respectfully,
Danl. M. Fox
Superintendent.

Hon. John K. Valentine
United States Attorney
Eastern District of Penna.
9th & Chestnut
Philada.

NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 142

Office of United States Attorney,
Eastern District of Pennsylvania,
Philadelphia,
November 13, 1886

Honorable Daniel Manning,
Secretary of the Treasury,

Sir:

I have the honor to call your attention to my letters of August 7, 1885 in regard to an Indictment pending in the U.S.O.C. of this District against Peter Daly. There has been a delay in the prosecution of this case from the fact that it was thought desirable that some investigation should be made at the Mint in this City, to learn if possible, what other parties were implicated; especially if any of these parties were employees of the Mint. I recently addressed a letter to the Superintendent of the Mint, inquiring whether this investigation had been completed and whether had been completed an whether the reasons which hitherto existed for delay in this case, still continued.

I enclose herewith a copy of his answer. As the Superintendent states that he is not able to answer my inquiry; in accordance with his suggestion, I address you on the subject and would be pleased to be informed whether these investigations have been completed and whether there is any reason, at the present time, why this case should not be prosecuted.

Very respectfully,
Your obedient Servant,
John K. Valentine,
U.S. Attorney

[Noted on the back:]

Respectfully referred to the Superintendent of the Mint at Philadelphia who will please communicate his views on the subject to the writer.

Jas. P. Kimball
Director.

H.K.
Treasury Department,
November 15, 1886

Respectfully referred to the Director of the Mint.

E.B. Fommasser
Chief Clerk